

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/717,989	11/21/2003	Matthew Hayduk	034017R005	8138
441 75	12/01/2005	•	EXAMINER	
SMITH, GAMBRELL & RUSSELL, LLP 1850 M STREET, N.W., SUITE 800			NICOLAS, FREDERICK C	
WASHINGTON			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
		•	3754	· · · · · · ·
			DATE MAILED: 12/01/2009	5

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/717,989	HAYDUK, MATTHEW	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	·
	Frederick C. Nicolas	3754	_
The MAILING DATE of this communicate Period for Reply	ion appears on the cover sheet wi	th the correspondence address	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAIL  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communical If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutor  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, I Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ING DATE OF THIS COMMUNIC CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reation. y period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MON by statute, cause the application to become AB	CATION.  Poply be timely filed  THS from the mailing date of this communication  ANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status			
<ul> <li>1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on</li> <li>2a) This action is FINAL.</li> <li>2b) Since this application is in condition for a closed in accordance with the practice unit of the closed.</li> </ul>	☐ This action is non-final. allowance except for formal matt		<b>;</b>
Disposition of Claims			
4) ☐ Claim(s) 2-28,42 and 43 is/are pending 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are w 5) ☐ Claim(s) 3-11,13-15,21,28,42 and 43 is/ 6) ☐ Claim(s) 16,20,22 and 23 is/are rejected 7) ☐ Claim(s) 2,12,17-19 and 24-27 is/are ob 8) ☐ Claim(s) 2-28,42 and 43 are subject to r	rithdrawn from consideration. Fare allowed.  I.  Jected to.	nent.	
Application Papers			
9) The specification is objected to by the Ex 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) Applicant may not request that any objection Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by	accepted or b) objected to lot on the drawing(s) be held in abeyan correction is required if the drawing(	ce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d	i).
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for to a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority doces.  2. Certified copies of the priority doces.  3. Copies of the certified copies of the application from the International.  * See the attached detailed Office action for	uments have been received. uments have been received in A ne priority documents have been Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	pplication No received in this National Stage	
Attachment(s)			
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO Paper No(s)/Mail Date	Paper No(s	ummary (PTO-413) )/Mail Date formal Patent Application (PTO-152) 	

Application/Control Number: 10/717,989

Art Unit: 3754

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35
 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this
 Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 2. Claims 22-23 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Lee et al. 5,950,875.

Lee et al. disclose a foam dispenser drive system for a mixing module rod (56) (col. 3, II. 42-65), which comprises a driver (66), a means for converting a rotational drive force into a linear reciprocation drive force for reciprocation of the mixing module rod functioning as a valve rod relative to one or more foam chemical passageways in a mixing module receiving the mixing module rod (col. 6, II. 66-67 onto col. 7 and 8, II. 1-67 and onto col. 9, II. 1-32), wherein the linear reciprocation drive force has a linear direction coincident with a linear reciprocation of the mixing module rod reciprocated by the drive system, and the rotational drive force has a rotation axis extending in a common direction with a rotation axis of a drive shaft of the driver as seen in Figures 2,6 and 7.

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

Application/Control Number: 10/717,989

Art Unit: 3754

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

4. Claim 16 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Lee et al. 5,950,875 in view of Sperry et al. 5,964,378.

Lee et al. have taught all the features of the claimed invention except that the driver is a DC brushless motor. Sperry et al. teach the use of a DC brushless motor (col. 20, II. 54-67 onto col. 21, II. 1-9).

It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the motor of Lee et al. to be a DC brushless motor as taught by Sperry et al. in (col. 20, II. 54-67 onto col. 21, II. 1-9), in order to maintain a constant flow rate ratio.

5. Claim 20 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Lee et al. 5,950,875.

Lee et al. have taught all the features of the claimed invention except that the driver includes a motor generating 10,000 lbf. of drive force.

At the time the invention was made, it would have been an obvious matter of design choice to a person of ordinary skill in the art to have the motor of Lee et al. generates 10,000 lbf. of drive force, because applicant has not disclosed that having a motor generates 10,000 lbf. of drive force provides an advantage, is used for a particular purpose, or solves a stated problem. One of ordinary skill in the art, furthermore, would have expected applicant's invention to perform

Application/Control Number: 10/717,989

Art Unit: 3754

1

equally well with the motor of Lee et al., because the motor of Lee et al. operates the system.

Therefore, it would have been an obvious matter of design choice to modify the motor of Lee et al. to obtain the invention specified in claim (20).

### Allowable Subject Matter

- 6. Claims 3-11,13-15,21,28,42-43 are allowed.
- 7. Claims 2,12,17-19,24-27 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

# Response to Arguments

8. Applicant's arguments filed 9/6/2005 have been fully considered but are most in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

### Conclusion

9. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be

Art Unit: 3754

2

calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

10. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Frederick C. Nicolas whose telephone number is (571)-272-4931. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday - Friday from 9:00 AM to 5:00 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Michael Y. Mar, can be reached on 571-272-4906. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

FN

November 25, 2005

Frederick C. Nicolas<sup>/</sup> Primary Examiner

Art Unit 3754